

# Rational groups and integer-valued characters of Thompson group $Th$

Hesam Sharifi

Received: 15 February 2011 / Accepted: 30 April 2011 / Published online: 12 May 2011  
© Springer Science+Business Media, LLC 2011

**Abstract** According to the main result of W. Feit and G. M. Seitz (Illinois J Math 33(1):103–131, 1988), the Thompson group  $Th$  is non-rational or unmatured group (S. Fujita in Bull Chem Soc Jpn 71:2071–2080, 1998). Using the concept of markaracter tables proposed by S. Fujita (Bull Chem Soc Jpn 71:1587–1596, 1998), we are able to obtain tables of integer-valued characters for finite unmatured groups. In this paper, the integer-valued character for Thompson group is successfully derived for the first time.

**Keywords** Rational group · Integer-valued characters · Matured groups · Dominant classes · Markaracter · Thompson group

## 1 Introduction

Shinsaku Fujita suggested a new concept called the markaracter table, which enables us to discuss marks and characters for a finite group on a common basis, and then introduced tables of integer-valued characters [5, 10], which are acquired for such groups. Fujita's theory was further developed and utilized for a variety of enumeration problems of chemical species eventually [4–6, 8–12]. A dominant class is defined as a disjoint union of conjugacy classes corresponding the same cyclic subgroups, which is selected as a representative of conjugate cyclic subgroups [5–7, 10].

The Thompson group of order 90745943887872000 is an unmatured group according to the main result of W. Feit and G. M. Seitz in [3]. The motivation for this study is outlined in [2, 4], and [5], and the reader is encouraged to consult the papers and

---

H. Sharifi (✉)

Department of Mathematics Faculty of Science, Shahed University, Tehran, Iran  
e-mail: hsharifi@shahed.ac.ir

[1, 13, 15], and [14] for background material as well as basic computational techniques. This paper is organized as follows: In Sect. 2, we introduce some necessary concepts, such as the maturity,  $\mathbb{Q}$ -group and  $\mathbb{Q}$ -conjugacy character of a finite group. In Sect. 3, we provide all the dominant classes and  $\mathbb{Q}$ -conjugacy characters for the the Thompson group  $Th$ .

## 2 Preliminaries

Throughout this paper we adopt the same notations as in [4, 5]. We will use the ATLAS notations [1] for conjugacy classes. Thus,  $nx$ ,  $n$  is an integer and  $x = a, b, c, \dots$  denote conjugacy classes of  $G$  of elements of order  $n$ .

Before stating discussion, we will mention some well-known results about  $\mathbb{Q}$ -conjugation. An alternative characterization of  $\mathbb{Q}$ -conjugation is the following concepts which can be found [5–7, 10, 11].

A *dominant class* is defined as a disjoint union of conjugacy classes that corresponds to the same cyclic subgroup, which is selected as a representative of conjugate cyclic subgroups. Let  $G$  be a finite group and  $h_1, h_2 \in G$ . We say  $h_1$  and  $h_2$  are  $\mathbb{Q}$ -conjugate if  $t \in G$  exists such that  $t^{-1}(h_1)t = \langle h_2 \rangle$  which is an equivalence relation on group  $G$  and generates equivalence classes that are called dominant classes. The group  $G$  is partitioned in to dominant classes as follows:  $G = K_1 + K_2 + \dots + K_s$  in which  $K_i$  corresponding to the cyclic (dominant) subgroup  $G_i$  selected from a non-redundant set of cyclic subgroups of  $G$  denoted by  $SCSG$ .

Let  $C$  be a  $m \times m$  matrix of the character table for an arbitrary finite group  $G$ . Then,  $C$  is transformed into a more concise form called the  $\mathbb{Q}$ -conjugacy character table denoted by  $C_G^{\mathbb{Q}}$  containing integer-valued characters. By Theorem 4 in [5], the dimension of a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -conjugacy character table,  $C_G^{\mathbb{Q}}$  is equal to its corresponding mar-character table, i.e.,  $C_G^{\mathbb{Q}}$  is an  $n \times n$ -matrix where  $n$  is the number of dominant classes or equivalently the number of  $SCSG$ . If  $m = n$ , then  $C = C^{\mathbb{Q}}$  i.e.  $G$  is a *maturated* group. Otherwise,  $n < m$  (is called *unmaturated* group) for each  $G_i \in SCGG$  (the corresponding dominant class  $K_i$ ) set  $t_i = m(G_i)/\varphi(|G_i|)$  where  $m(G_i) = |N_G(G_i)|/|C_G(G_i)|$  (called the maturity discriminant),  $\varphi$  is the Euler function. If  $t_i = 1$  then,  $K_i$  is exactly a conjugacy class so there is no reduction in row and column of  $C$  but if  $t_i > 1$  then  $K_i$  is a union of  $t_i$ -conjugacy classes of  $G$  (i.e. reduction in column) therefore the sum of  $t_i$  rows of irreducible characters via the same degree in  $C$  (reduction in rows) gives us a reducible character which is called the  $\mathbb{Q}$ -conjugacy character.

Now, we recall some concepts of rational group theory. Let  $G$  be a finite group and  $\chi$  be a complex character of  $G$ . Let  $\mathbb{Q}(\chi)$  denote the subfield of the complex numbers  $\mathbb{C}$  generated by  $\mathbb{Q}$  and all the values  $\chi(x)$ ,  $x \in G$ , where  $\mathbb{Q}$  denotes the field of rational numbers. By definition,  $\chi$  is called rational if  $\mathbb{Q}(\chi) = \mathbb{Q}$ . A finite group  $G$  is called a rational group or a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -group, if all irreducible complex characters of  $G$  are rational. For example, the symmetric group  $S_n$  and the Weyl groups of the classical complex Lie algebras are rational groups (for more details see [1]). A comprehensive description of rational groups can be found in [16].

**Table 1** The integer-valued character table of Thompson group  $T/h$  where  $A_{12} = 12a \cup 12b$  is an unramified dominant class

$C_{T/h}^{\mathbb{Q}}$	$1a$	$2a$	$3a$	$3b$	$3c$	$4a$	$4b$	$5a$	$6a$	$6b$	$6c$	$7a$	$8a$	$8b$	$9a$	$9b$	$9c$	$10a$	$A_{12}$
$X_1$	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
$X_2$	248	-8	14	5	-4	8	0	-2	4	-2	1	3	0	0	5	-4	2	2	2
$X_3$	4,123	27	64	-8	1	27	-5	-2	9	0	0	7	3	-1	-8	1	4	2	0
$X_4$	54,000	240	-54	54	0	16	0	0	0	-6	6	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	-2
$X_5$	30,628	-92	91	10	10	36	4	3	10	-5	-2	3	-4	0	10	10	1	3	3
$X_6$	30,875	155	104	14	5	27	-5	0	5	8	2	5	3	-1	14	5	2	0	0
$X_7$	61,256	72	182	20	20	56	0	6	12	6	0	6	0	0	-7	-7	2	2	2
$X_8$	171,990	-42	0	-54	54	-42	22	-10	6	0	-6	0	6	-2	0	0	0	-2	0
$X_9$	147,250	50	181	-8	-35	34	10	0	5	5	-4	5	2	-2	19	-8	1	0	1
$X_{10}$	1,535,274	810	0	0	0	-54	-6	24	0	0	0	6	-6	-6	0	0	0	0	0
$X_{11}$	1,558,494	-546	-378	-108	0	126	-18	-6	0	6	12	0	-2	6	0	0	0	-6	6
$X_{12}$	957,125	-315	650	-52	-25	133	5	0	15	-6	0	8	-3	1	-25	2	2	0	-2
$X_{13}$	3,414,528	-1,536	0	-108	108	0	0	28	-12	0	12	12	0	0	0	0	0	4	0
$X_{14}$	2,450,240	832	260	71	44	64	0	-10	4	4	-5	-5	0	0	17	-10	-1	2	4
$X_{15}$	2,572,752	-1,072	624	111	84	48	0	2	-4	-16	-1	7	0	0	30	3	3	-2	0
$X_{16}$	3,376,737	609	819	9	9	161	1	-13	9	3	-3	0	1	1	9	9	0	-1	-1
$X_{17}$	8,192,000	0	128	-16	-160	0	0	0	0	0	0	-16	0	0	-16	2	8	0	0
$X_{18}$	4,123,000	120	118	19	-80	8	0	0	0	6	3	-7	0	0	19	1	4	0	2
$X_{19}$	4,881,384	1,512	729	0	0	72	24	9	0	9	0	4	8	0	0	0	0	-3	-3

**Table 1** continued

$C_{T,h}^Q$	1a	2a	3a	3b	3c	4a	4b	5a	6a	6b	6c	7a	8a	8b	9a	9b	9c	10a	A <sub>12</sub>
X20	4,936,750	-210	637	-38	-65	126	-10	0	15	-3	6	0	-2	2	16	-11	-2	0	-3
X21	13,338,000	-2,160	-702	216	0	112	0	0	0	18	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	-2
X22	13,392,000	-1,920	-756	270	0	128	0	0	0	12	6	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	-4
X23	10,822,875	-805	924	141	-75	91	-5	0	5	-4	5	0	3	-1	-21	6	-3	0	4
X24	11,577,384	552	351	135	0	-120	24	9	0	15	3	7	-8	0	0	0	0	-3	3
X25	16,539,120	2,544	0	297	-54	48	16	-5	-6	0	-3	3	0	0	0	0	0	-1	0
X26	18,154,500	1,540	-273	213	-30	-28	20	0	10	-17	1	0	-4	0	-3	-3	0	0	-1
X27	42,653,520	336	0	-270	-216	-336	0	20	24	0	-6	0	0	0	0	0	0	-4	0
X28	28,861,000	840	1,078	-110	160	56	0	0	0	6	-6	0	0	0	-29	-2	-2	0	2
X29	30,507,008	0	896	-184	32	0	0	8	0	0	0	0	0	0	32	5	-4	0	0
X30	40,199,250	3,410	-78	3	165	-62	10	0	5	2	-1	-7	-6	2	3	3	3	0	-2
X31	44,330,496	3,584	168	6	-156	0	0	-4	-4	8	2	0	0	0	6	6	-3	4	0
X32	51,684,750	2,190	0	108	135	-162	-10	0	15	0	12	-9	6	-2	0	0	0	0	0
X33	72,925,515	-2,997	0	0	0	27	51	15	0	0	0	-9	3	3	0	0	0	3	0
X34	76,271,625	-2,295	729	0	0	153	-15	0	0	9	0	-11	-7	-3	0	0	0	0	-3
X35	77,376,000	2,560	1,560	-60	-60	0	0	0	-20	-8	4	2	0	0	-6	-6	3	0	0
X36	81,153,009	-783	-729	0	0	225	9	9	0	-9	0	-7	1	-3	0	0	0	-3	3
X37	91,171,899	315	0	243	0	-21	-45	24	0	0	-9	0	3	3	0	0	0	0	0
X38	111,321,000	3,240	-1,728	-216	0	216	0	0	0	0	0	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
X39	190,373,976	-3,240	0	0	0	-216	0	-24	0	0	0	9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

**Table 2** The integer-valued character table of Thompson group  $T/h$  where  $A_n = na \cup nb$  for  $n = 15, 24, 30, 31, 39$ ,  $A_k = kb \cup kc$  for  $k = 27, 36$  and  $B_{24} = 24c \cup 24d$  are unmatured dominant class

$C_{T/h}^{\mathbb{Q}}$	12c	12d	13a	14a	A15	18a	18b	19a	20a	21a	A24	B24	27a	A27	28a	A30	A31	36a	A36	A39
$X_1$	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
$X_2$	-1	0	1	-1	1	1	-2	1	0	0	0	0	2	-1	1	-1	0	-1	-1	1
$X_3$	0	1	2	-1	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	-1	-2	1	-1	-1	0	0	0	-1
$X_4$	-2	0	-2	2	0	0	0	2	0	2	0	0	0	0	2	0	-2	4	-2	-2
$X_5$	0	-2	0	-1	0	-2	1	0	-1	0	-1	0	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	0
$X_6$	0	1	0	1	0	2	2	0	0	-1	0	-1	2	-1	-1	0	-1	0	0	0
$X_7$	2	0	0	2	0	-3	0	0	0	0	0	0	-1	-1	0	2	0	-1	-1	0
$X_8$	-6	-2	0	0	-1	0	0	2	2	0	0	-2	0	0	0	1	2	0	0	0
$X_9$	-2	1	-1	1	0	-1	-1	0	0	-1	-1	1	1	1	-1	0	0	1	1	-1
$X_{10}$	0	0	0	-2	0	0	0	-2	4	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	-1	0	0	0
$X_{11}$	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	-2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	-1
$X_{12}$	-2	-1	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	-1	0	1	-1	-1	0	0	0	1	1	0
$X_{13}$	0	0	0	4	-2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	-2	2	0	0	0
$X_{14}$	1	0	0	-1	-1	1	1	0	0	1	0	0	-1	-1	1	-1	0	1	1	0
$X_{15}$	3	0	0	-1	-1	2	-1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	-1	1	0	0	0	0
$X_{16}$	-1	1	0	0	-1	-3	0	0	1	0	1	1	0	0	0	-1	0	-1	-1	0
$X_{17}$	0	0	-2	0	0	0	0	-2	0	2	0	0	2	-1	0	0	2	0	0	-2
$X_{18}$	-1	0	-2	1	0	3	0	0	0	-1	0	0	-2	1	1	0	0	-1	-1	1
$X_{19}$	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	-1	-1	1	-1	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	1
$X_{20}$	0	-1	0	0	0	0	0	-1	0	0	1	-1	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0

Table 2 continued

$C_{Th}^Q$	12c	12d	13a	14a	A15	18a	18b	19a	20a	21a	A24	B24	27a	A27	28a	A30	A31	36a	A36	A39
X21	4	0	0	-4	0	0	0	0	0	-2	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	4	-2	0
X22	2	0	-2	-2	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	-4	2	-2
X23	1	1	-2	0	0	-1	-1	0	0	0	0	-1	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1
X24	-3	0	0	-1	0	0	0	0	-1	1	1	0	0	0	-1	0	0	0	0	0
X25	3	-2	0	3	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	-1	-1	0	0	0	0
X26	-1	2	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	-1	0	0	0	0	0	1	-1	-1	0
X27	6	0	0	0	-1	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	-1	0	0	0	0
X28	2	0	-1	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	-1	-1	-1
X29	0	0	-1	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	-1	-1	0	0	1	0	0	-1
X30	1	1	0	1	0	-1	-1	0	0	-1	0	-1	0	0	1	0	0	1	1	0
X31	0	0	2	0	-1	2	-1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	-1
X32	0	-1	0	-1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	-1	0	0	0	0	0
X33	0	0	0	-1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	-1	0	-1	0	0	0
X34	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	1	0	1	-1	0	0	0	-1	0	0	0	0	1
X35	0	0	0	-2	0	-2	1	1	0	-1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
X36	0	0	2	1	0	0	0	0	-1	-1	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	-1
X37	-3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
X38	0	0	-2	-1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	-1	0	0	0	0	1
X39	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	-1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0

**Theorem 2.1** [16] *A group  $G$  is a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -group if and only if for every  $x \in G$  of order  $n$  the elements  $x$  and  $x^m$  are conjugacy in  $G$ , whenever  $(m, n) = 1$ . Equivalency, for each  $x \in G$  we must have  $\frac{N_G(\langle x \rangle)}{C_G(\langle x \rangle)} \simeq \text{Aut}(\langle x \rangle)$ .*

The following depth Theorem due to Fiet and Siet [3].

**Theorem 2.2** *Let  $G$  be a noncyclic simple group. Then  $G$  is a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -group if and only if  $G \simeq Sp_6(2)$  or  $O_8^+(2)'$ .*

By Definition  $\mathbb{Q}$ -conjugacy class and Theorems 2.1 and 2.2, every  $\mathbb{Q}$ -group is matured.

### 3 Results and discussions

According to the Theorem 2.2, the Thompson group  $Th$  is an unmatured group. Now we are equipped to compute all the dominant classes and  $\mathbb{Q}$ -conjugacy characters for the above group, using a GAP program [13].<sup>1</sup>

**Theorem 3.1** *The Thompson group  $Th$  has thirty nine dominant classes. Moreover, the unmatured dominant classes of  $Th$  have orders 12, 15, 24, 24, 27, 30, 31, 36 and 39 with the corresponding maturities 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2 and 2, respectively.*

*Proof* The dimension of a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -conjugacy character table,  $C_{Th}^{\mathbb{Q}}$  is equal to its corresponding markaracter table for  $Th$ . To find the number of dominant classes, at first, we calculate the table of marks for  $Th$  [14,15] via GAP system, see GAP programs in [13] for more details. Hence, the markaracter table for  $Th$  corresponding to nine non-conjugate cyclic subgroups (i.e.,  $G_i \in SCST_h$ ) of orders 12, 15, 24, 24, 27, 30, 31, 36 and 39.

Therefore, by using the above table, the character table of  $Th$  and Definition dominant class, since  $|SCST_h| = 9$ , the dominant classes of  $Th$  are  $A_{12} = 12a \cup 112b$ ,  $A_n = na \cup nb$  for  $n = 15, 24, 30, 31, 39$ ,  $A_k = kb \cup kc$  for  $k = 27, 36$  and  $B_{24} = 24c \cup 24d$  with maturity (i.e.,  $t = \varphi(n)/m(H)$ ) 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2 and 2 respectively.  $\square$

The Thompson group  $Th$  has nine unmatured  $\mathbb{Q}$ -conjugacy characters. Furthermore  $Th$  has nine unmatured  $\mathbb{Q}$ -conjugacy characters  $\chi_4, \chi_8, \chi_{10}, \chi_{11}, \chi_{13}, \chi_{17}, \chi_{21}, \chi_{22}$  and  $\chi_{27}$  which are the sum of two irreducible characters. Therefore, there are nine column-reductions (similarly nine row-reductions) in the character table of  $Th$  [4,5]. We provide all  $\mathbb{Q}$ -conjugacy characters of  $Th$  in Tables 1 and 2.

<sup>1</sup> which is available freely from: <http://www.gap-system.org>.

## References

1. J.H. Conway, R.T. Curtis, S.P. Norton, R.A. Parker, R.A. Wilson, *ATLAS of finite groups* (Oxford University Press (Clarendon), Oxford, 1985)
2. M.R. Darafsheh, A. Moghani, S. Naghdi Sedeh, Group theory for tetramethylethylene II. *Acta Chim. Slov.* **55**, 602–607 (2008)
3. W. Feit, G.M. Seitz, On finite rational groups and related topics. *Illinois J. Math.* **33**(1), 103–131 (1988)
4. S. Fujita, Diagrammatical approach to molecular symmetry and enumeration of stereoisomers. *Croat. Chem. ACTA, CCACAA* **81**(2), A27–A28 (2008)
5. S. Fujita, Inherent automorphism and  $\mathbb{Q}$ -conjugacy character tables of finite groups, an application to combinatorial enumeration of isomers. *Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn.* **71**, 2309–2321 (1998)
6. S. Fujita, Direct subduction of  $\mathbb{Q}$ -conjugacy representations to give characteristic monomials for combinatorial enumeration. *Theor. Chem. Acc.* **99**, 404–410 (1998)
7. S. Fujita, Dominant representations and a markaracter table for a group of finite order. *Theor. Chim. Acta.* **91**, 291–314 (1995)
8. S. Fujita, Enumeration of non-rigid molecules by means of unit subduced cycle indices. *Theor. Chim. Acta.* **77**, 307–321 (1990)
9. S. Fujita, The unit-subduced-cycle-index methods and the characteristic-monomial method. Their relationship as group-theoretical tools for chemical combinatorics. *J. Math. Chem.* **30**(3), 249–270 (2001)
10. S. Fujita, Mark tables and  $\mathbb{Q}$ -conjugacy character tables for cyclic groups. An application to combinatorial enumeration. *Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn.* **71**, 1587–1596 (1998)
11. S. Fujita, Maturity of finite groups. An application to combinatorial enumeration of isomers. *Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn.* **71**, 2071–2080 (1998)
12. S. Fujita, A simple method for enumeration of non-rigid isomers. An application of characteristic monomials. *Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn.* **72**, 2403–2407 (1999)
13. GAP, Groups, *Algorithms and Programming, Lehrstuhl De für Mathematik* (RWTH, Aachen, 1995)
14. A. Kerber, K. Thurlings, *Combinatorial Theory* (Springer, Berlin, 1982)
15. A. Kerber, *Applied Finite Group Actions* (Springer, Berlin, 1999)
16. D. Kletzing, *Structure and Representations of  $\mathbb{Q}$ -Group, Lecture Notes in Math. 1084*. (Springer, Berlin, 1984)